## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

MAGDALINA KALINCHEVA, M.D.,	8:15CV44
WI.D.,	)
Plaintiff,	)
17	)
V.	) <b>MEMORANDUM</b>
JESSE NEUBARTH, VALENTYNA	) AND ORDER
LEZOVITSKAYA, child, E.	
ANDREW WALTON, JERALD	)
MASON, all from Avenue Realty,	)
CHICAGO TITLE COMPANY,	)
DONALD A. MACHADO,	)
MICHELLE HULLIGAN, JANE	,
DOE, Trini women 2 kids Manteca	)
CA, TODD CORREN, and JOHN	,
DOE, Renters at 535 W Vine St., 9-12,	,
Defendants.	)

Plaintiff, a non-prisoner, has submitted a motion for leave to appeal in forma pauperis. (Filing No. 73.) A litigant seeking to appeal a judgment must either pay the required filing fees or proceed in forma pauperis. See Fed. R. App. P. 3(e). "An appeal may not be taken in forma pauperis if the trial court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). Good faith is established when an appellant seeks review of an issue that is "not frivolous." Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 445 (1962). An appeal is frivolous when the result is obvious or when the appellant's argument is wholly without merit. Newhouse v. McCormick & Co., Inc., 130 F.3d 302, 305 (8th Cir. 1997).

The court hereby certifies that the appeal is not taken in good faith. Plaintiff's filings in this case are nonsensical. It is clear that Plaintiff's arguments completely lack merit.

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Appeal in Forma Pauperis (Filing No. <u>73</u>) is denied.
- 2. The clerk of the court is directed to provide a copy of this Memorandum and Order to the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals.

DATED this 29th day of September, 2016.

BY THE COURT:

s/ *Richard G. Kopf*Supervising Pro Se Judge